

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA
CHARLOTTE DIVISION
3:07CV130-MU

RANDY L. THOMAS)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
vs.)	
)	<u>ORDER</u>
YNEZ OLHAUSEN, MARY ELLEN)	
MCDONALD PETER GORMAN,)	
CHARLOTTE-MECKLENBURG POLICE,)	
CHARLOTTE MECKLENBURG,)	
STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA)	
)	
Defendants.)	
)	

This matter is before the Court upon a Complaint filed by Plaintiff pro se. Defendants Ynez Olshausen and Peter Gorman have filed a motion to dismiss pursuant to Rules 12(b)(6) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure seeking dismissal of the Complaint.

It appears that this Defendants may be entitled to a dismissal in this action as a matter of law. Therefore, the Plaintiff is directed to file a response as described below:

TO THE PLAINTIFF, RANDY L. THOMAS, READ THE FOLLOWING VERY CAREFULLY:

You are to file a brief written argument opposing the Defendants' brief supporting the Motion to Dismiss.

Within fourteen days of the date of entry of this Order, the Plaintiffs must submit the brief or request an extension of the deadline.

You are hereby advised that you have fourteen (14) days from the filing of this Order in which to file your response to the Motion to Dismiss. If you fail to respond to this motion, the

court will proceed to decide the matter. A copy of the response must be served on the Defendants and a certificate of service must be filed with the court showing that a copy has been sent to counsel for the Defendants.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Plaintiff has fourteen (14) days from the filing of this Order in which to respond to Defendants' Motion to Dismiss.

The Clerk is directed to certify copies of this Order to Plaintiff and counsel for Defendants. The Clerk is specifically directed to send Plaintiff's copy by **certified mail, return receipt requested.**

Signed: April 16, 2007



Graham C. Mullen
United States District Judge

